

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Aldress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/804,081	03/13/2001	Fumihiro Arakawa	DAIN:580	9115
7	590 03/28/2003			
	T & WENDEL, L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
Suite 210 1421 Prince Street			AMARI, ALESSANDRO V	
Alexandria, VA 22314-2805			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	2872
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/804,081	ARAKAWA ET AL.				
. Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alessandro V. Amari	2872				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cov r sh et with the c	correspondenc add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 19 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re- ch places the appli	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$  36(a) and the appropriat  fee. The appropriate ex  the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject</li></ol>	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 6 and 12.						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 7.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-11.						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exar	niner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <sub>.</sub>	Mulul-	NSON MINER			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) ₹. (2009/804,081

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issue is directed to the proposed language further defining a layer formed of a resin composition having a lower light refractive index than a refractive index of the transparent layer.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims as finally rejected do not distinguish the claimed antireflection film from the prior art cited.